BEST AVAILABLE POPY	43016-H-14		
TO MANILADLE DAY	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
Interview Summary	08/196,154	LIVINGSTON ET AL	
y	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Anne Holleran	1642	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):	ant Englist 823 07	
) <u>Anne Holleran</u> .	(3) <u>Cindy Yang</u> .		•
) <u>John White</u> .	(4)	AUG 2 0 4 4	•
Date of Interview: <u>12 August 2004</u> .		7,00 2. 0	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicar	e nt 2)⊡ applicant's represo	بر entative]	
whibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yell If Yes, brief description:	es e)□ No.		
laim(s) discussed: <u>119</u> .			· ·
entification of prior art discussed:			
greement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reache			
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed becification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Specialist or a Quality Assurance Specialist or a Copy of the areowable, if available, must be attached. Also where	neral nature of what was agr d copy of proposed amendment mendment is filed examiner v ecialist.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with	
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Spe	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination copy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE to the last Office action has a copy the manual page 1.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICANT	claim
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed excification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Sp	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Spe	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
bstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: <u>Examiner discussed</u> ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Sp	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claim
abstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: <u>Examiner discussed</u> ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance S	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Spe	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
abstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: <u>Examiner discussed</u> ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Specialist or a Quality Assurance Specialist of a Quality Assurance Specialist or a Quality Assurance S	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: <u>Examiner discussed</u> ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance S	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed ecification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Spe	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed becification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Sp	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: Examiner discussed excification with applicants' representative. When are otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Sp	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims
ubstance of Interview including description of the gen ached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner discussed</u> <u>secification with applicants' representative. When ar</u> otech Practice Specialist or a Quality Assurance Spe	neral nature of what was agraged copy of proposed amendment is filed examiner vecialist.  mendments which the examination ocopy of the amendments ached.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE of the last Office action has a possible of the control of the second of the second ocopy.	ent to the claims and to the vill discuss 103 rejection with the agreed would render the that would render the claims  E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Iready been filed, APPLICAN F THIS INTERVIEW SUMM.	claims

EXHIBIT G Applicants: Livingston et al. U.S. Serial No.: 08/475,784 Filed: June 7, 1995

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies

which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is malled to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It hould be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview inless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the ubstance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and curate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the atement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the per recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



NOTICE OF OFFICE PLAN TO CEASE SUPPLYING COPIES OF CITED U.S. PATENT REFERENCES WITH OFFICE ACTIONS, AND PILOT TO EVALUATE THE ALTERNATIVE OF PROVIDING ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO SUCH U.S. PATENT REFERENCES

#### Summary

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office or USPTO) plans in the near future to: (1) cease mailing copies of U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications (US patent references) with Office actions except for citations made during the international stage of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty and those made during reexamination proceedings; and (2) provide electronic access to, with convenient downloading capability of, the US patent references cited in an Office action via the Office's private Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system which has a new feature called "E-Patent Reference." Before ceasing to provide copies of U.S. patent references with Office actions, the Office shall test the feasibility of the E-Patent Reference feature by conducting a two-month pilot project starting with Office actions mailed after December 1, 2003. The Office shall evaluate the pilot project and publish the results in a notice which will be posted on the Office's web site (www.USPTO.gov) and in the Patent Official Gazette (O.G.). In order to use the new E-Patent Reference feature during the pilot period, or when the Office ceases to send copies of U.S. patent references with Office actions, the applicant must: (1) obtain a digital certificate from the Office; (2) obtain a customer number from the Office, and (3) properly associate applications with the customer number. The pilot project does not involve or affect the current Office practice of supplying paper copies of foreign patent documents and non-patent literature with Office actions. Paper copies of references will continue to be provided by the USPTO for searches and written opinions prepared by the USPTO for international applications during the international stage and for reexamination proceedings.

## Description of Pilot Project to Provide Electronic Access to Cited U.S. Patent References

On December 1, 2003, the Office will make available a new feature, E-Patent Reference, in the Office's private PAIR system, to allow more convenient downloading of U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications. The new feature will allow an authorized user of private PAIR to download some or all of the U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications cited by an examiner on form PTO-892 in Office actions, as well as U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications submitted by applicants on form PTO/SB08 (1449) as part of an IDS. The retrieval of some or all of the documents may be performed in one downloading step with the documents encoded as Adobe Portable Document format (.pdf) files, which is an improvement over the current page-by-page retrieval capability from other USPTO systems.




Steps to Use the New E-Patent Reference Feature During the Pilot Project and Thereafter

Access to private PAIR is required to utilize E-Patent Reference. If you don't already have access to private PAIR, the Office urges practitioners, and applicants not represented by a practitioner, to take advantage of the transition period to obtain a no-cost USPTO Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) digital certificate, obtain a USPTO customer number, associate all of their pending and new application filings with their customer number, install no-cost software (supplied by the Office) required to access private PAIR and E-Patent Reference feature, and make appropriate arrangements for Internet access. The full instructions for obtaining a PKI digital certificate are available at the Office's Electronic Business Center (EBC) web page at: <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/downloads.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/downloads.html</a>. Note that a notarized signature will be required to obtain a digital certificate.

To get a Customer Number, download and complete the Customer Number Request form, PTO-SB125, at: <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/sb0125.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/sb0125.pdf</a>. The completed form can then be transmitted by facisimile to the Electronic Business Center at (703) 308-2840, or mailed to the address on the form. If you are a registered attorney or patent agent, then your registration number must be associated with your customer number. This is accomplished by adding your registration number to the Customer Number Request form. A description of associating a customer number with an application is described at the EBC web page at: <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/registration\_pair.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/registration\_pair.html</a>.

The E-Patent Reference feature will be accessed using a new button on the private PAIR screen. Ordinarily all of the cited U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references will be available over the Internet using the Office's new E-Patent Reference feature. The size of the references to be downloaded will be displayed by E-Patent Reference so the download time can be estimated. Applicants and registered practitioners can select to download all of the references or any combination of cited references. Selected references will be downloaded as complete documents as Adobe Portable Document Format (.pdf) files. For a limited period of time, the USPTO will include a copy of this notice with Office actions to encourage applicants to use this new feature and, if needed, to take the steps outlined above in order to be able to utilize this new feature during the pilot and thereafter.

During the two-month pilot, the Office will evaluate the stability and capacity of the E-Patent Reference feature to reliably provide electronic access to cited U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references. While copies of U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references cited by examiners will continue to be mailed with Office actions during the pilot project, applicants are encouraged to use the private PAIR and the E-Patent Reference feature to electronically access and download cited U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references so the Office will be able to objectively evaluate its performance. The public is encouraged to submit comments to the Office on the usability and performance of the E-Patent Reference feature during the pilot. Further, during the pilot period registered practitioners, and applicants not represented by a practitioner, are encouraged to experiment with the feature, develop a proficiency in using the feature, and establish new internal processes for using the new access to the cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications to prepare for the anticipated cessation of the current Office practice of supplying copies of such cited



references. The Office plans to continue to provide access to the E-Patent Reference feature during its evaluation of the pilot.

#### Comments

Comments concerning the E-Patent Reference feature should be in writing and directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at the USPTO by electronic mail at <a href="mailto:eReference@uspto.gov">eReference@uspto.gov</a> or by facsimile to (703) 308-2840. Comments will be posted and made available for public inspection. To ensure that comments are considered in the evaluation of the pilot project, comments should be submitted in writing by January 15, 2004.

Comments with respect to specific applications should be sent to the Technology Centers' customer service centers. Comments concerning digital certificates, customer numbers, and associating customer numbers with applications should be sent to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at the USPTO by facsimile at (703) 308-2840 or by e-mail at BBC@uspto.gov.

## Implementation after Pilot

After the pilot, its evaluation, and publication of a subsequent notice as indicated above, the Office expects to implement its plan to cease mailing paper copies of U.S. patent references cited during examination of non provisional applications on or after February 2, 2004; although copies of cited foreign patent documents, as well as non-patent literature, will still be mailed to the applicant until such time as substantially all applications have been scanned into IFW.

## For Further Information Contact

Technical information on the operation of the IFW system can be found on the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/index.html. Comments concerning the E-Patent Reference feature and questions concerning the operation of the PAIR system should be directed to the EBC at the USPTO at (866) 217-9197. The EBC may also be contacted by facsimile at (703) 308-2840 or by e-mail at EBC@uspto.gov.

Date. 12 1/03

Michales P. Fodici Nicholas P. Godici

Commissioner for Patents

# USPTO TO PROTIDE ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO CITED U.S. PATENT REFERENCES WITH OFFICE ACTIONS AND CEASE SUPPLYING PAPER COPIES

In support of its 21st Century Strategic Plan goal of increased patent e-Government, beginning in June 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office or USPTO) will begin the phasein of its E-Patent Reference program and hence will: (1) provide downloading capability of the U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications cited in Office actions via the E-Patent Reference feature of the Office's Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system; and (2) cease mailing paper copies of U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications with Office actions (in applications and during reexamination proceedings) except for citations made during the international stage of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). In order to use the new E-Patent Reference feature applicants must: (1) obtain a digital certificate and software from the Office; (2) obtain a customer number from the Office; and (3) properly associate patent applications with the customer number. Alternatively, copies of all U.S. patents and patent application publications can be accessed without a digital certificate from the USPTO web site, from the USPTO Office of Public Records, and from commercial sources. The Office will continue the practice of supplying paper copies of foreign patent documents and nonpatent literature with Office actions. Paper copies of cited references will continue to be provided by the USPTO for international applications during the international stage.

## **Schedule**

June 2004 July 2004 August 2004 TCs 1600, 1700, 2800 and 2900 TCs 3600 and 3700

TCs 2100 and 2600

All U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site. However, a simple system for downloading the <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications has been established for applicants, called the E-Patent Reference system. As E-Patent Reference and Private PAIR require participating applicants to have a customer number, retrieval software and a digital certificate, all applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Patent Electronic Business Center to acquire these items. To be ready to use this system by June 1, 2004, contact the Patent EBC as soon as possible by phone at 866-217-9197 (toll-free), 703-305-3028 or 703-308-6845 or electronically via the Internet at <u>ebc@uspto.gov</u>.

## Other Options

The E-Patent Reference function requires the applicant to use the secure Private PAIR system, which establishes confidential communications with the applicant. Applicants using this facility must receive a digital certificate, as described above. Other options for obtaining patents which do not require the digital certificate include the USPTO's free Patents on the Web program (http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html). The USPTO's Office of Public Records also supplies copies of patents for a fee (http://ebizl.uspto.gov/oems25p/index.html). Commercial sources also provide U.S. patents and patent application publications.

For complete instructions see the Official Gazette Notice, USPTO TO PROVIDE ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO CITED U.S. PATENT REFERENCES WITH OFFICE ACTIONS AND CEASE SUPPLYING PAPER COPIES, on the USPTO web site.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/196,154	11/16/1995	PHILIP O. LIVINGSTON	43016-A-PCT-	5954
7590 08/17/2004		•	EXAM	INER
JOHN P WHITE COOPER AND DUNHAM 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			HOLLERAN, ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)